Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	P	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	1	0/019,891	OLSSON, BERTIL
	E	xaminer	Art Unit
	T	hanh-Ha Dang	2163
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>Nor</u>	n-Final
(1) <u>Thanh-Ha Dang</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Nerrie M. Zohn</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 October 2005		Time: <u>10 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 1, 21, and 23.			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
	•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/Ar	oplicant's Representative Sig	Insture if appropriate)
(Examinor of E digitaturo)	(~hhiicaiiiv \ h	hunaur a Lehresenranne Olí	mature – ir appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner contacted Applicant's Representative to discuss the following issues:

- 1. The term extension used in the independent claims 1, 21, and 23 need to be elaborated to avoid broadness of claim;
- 2. New claim 23 has 101 non-statutory issue;
- 3. Abstract needs to be in proper language and format; and
- 4. Title of the invention is not descriptive.